REMARKS

Favorable consideration of this application, as presently amended and in light of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-22 are pending in the application, with Claims 1, 19, and 21 amended by the present amendment.

In the Official Action, Claims 1-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Caronni</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,507,908) in view of <u>Inoue et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,170,057, hereinafter Inoue).

Claims 1, 19 and 21 are amended to clarify features therein. One claimed feature resides in obtaining decrypted data by decrypting the encrypted data by utilizing the information regarding the security association and checking a destination address included in a header of the decrypted data at a time of relaying the communications with guaranteed data secrecy between the first terminal device and the second terminal device. Support for this amendment is found in Applicants' originally filed specification. No new matter is added.

Briefly recapitulating, Claim 1 is directed to a gateway device for carrying out a data relaying at a transport or upper layer between a first terminal device and a second terminal device which are capable of carrying out communication through networks with data secrecy based on a security association set up therebetween. The gateway device includes a security information management unit configured to obtain and manage information regarding the security association. The gateway device also includes a data receiving unit configured to receive encrypted data from the first terminal device or the second terminal device. The gateway device also includes a data decryption unit configured to obtain decrypted data by decrypting the encrypted data by using the information regarding the security association and to check a destination address included in a header of the decrypted data at a time of relaying the communications with data secrecy between the first terminal device and the second

Application No. 09/862,440

Reply to Office Action of May 27, 2005 and the Advisory Action of November 9, 2005 terminal device. The gateway device also includes a data relay unit configured to carry out the data relaying at the transport or upper layer according to the decrypted data. The gateway device also includes a data encryption unit configured to encrypt data to be transmitted from the gateway device by using the information regarding the security association with no new destination address being attached to the data to be transmitted. The gateway device also includes a data transmitting unit configured to transmit the encrypted data by the data encryption unit to the second terminal device or the first terminal device, respectively.

As described in Applicants' specification, one object of the present invention is to effectively combine a method for providing security such as IPSec and a device, such as a TCP-GW, and a Snoop proxy particularly provided between wired side terminal devices and wireless side terminal devices, for improving performance of TCP. Thus, the gateway is located between a first terminal device and a second terminal device. The first and second terminal devices are in security association with each other and thus carry out communications with each other with data secrecy based on the security association. The gateway obtains information regarding the security association from somewhere. This is because the gateway itself does not have a function to secure secret communications between the first device and the second device. The gateway is provided as a proxy device for improving performance of TCP.

Thus, the gateway of Claims 1, 19 and 21 decrypts encrypted data received from the first terminal device or the second terminal device according to the information regarding the security association. Next, the gateway according to the present invention carries out a data relaying at a transport or upper layer according to the decrypted data. The gateway encrypts data to be transmitted, according to the information regarding the security association.

<u>Caronni</u> describes a method for secure data communications with a mobile machine in which a data packet is received from the mobile machine having a particular network address.

Reply to Office Action of May 27, 2005 and the Advisory Action of November 9, 2005

A pool of secure addresses is established and a data structure is created to hold address
translation associations. Each association is between a particular network address and a
particular one of the secure addresses. If the received data packet is a secure data packet an
association between the received data packets network address and a secure address in the
data structure is identified and the data packets network address is translated to the associated
secure address before forwarding the data packet onto higher network protocol layers. When
the received data packet is not secure it is passed on without address translation to the higher
network protocol layers. For outgoing packets addressed to a secure address, the secure
address is translated to a real network address and the packet payload is encrypted. Outgoing
packets that are addressed directly to the real network addresses are passed through in a
conventional manner.

However, as acknowledged in the Official Action, while <u>Caronni</u> decrypts data from an external device, <u>Caronni</u> does not decrypt the data according to the information regarding the security association.

Inoue describes a mobile computer and a packet encryption and an authentication method which are capable of controlling an activation of a packet encryption and authentication device belonging to the mobile computer according to the security policy at the visited network of the mobile computer. The mobile computer is provided with a packet encryption and authentication unit having an on/off switchable functional for applying an encryption and authentication processing on input/output packets of the mobile computer.

One of the packet encryption authentication unit and an external packet processing device is selectively controlled to carry out the encryption and authentication processing on the input/output packets, where the external packet processing device being provided in a visited network at which the mobile computer is located and having a function for relaying packets

¹ Caronni Abstract.

Reply to Office Action of May 27, 2005 and the Advisory Action of November 9, 2005 transferred between a computer located in the network and a computer located in another network by applying the encryption and authentication processing.²

However, contrary to the Official Action, like <u>Caronni</u>, <u>Inoue</u> fails to disclose or suggest the feature that "a gateway re-encrypts the decrypted packets obtained from decrypting the received packets before sending them to a destination device". That is, <u>Inoue</u> fails to disclose or suggest a gateway that receives encrypted packets and then decrypts the encrypted packets and then re-encrypts the decrypted packets and then sends this encrypted packets.

Column 2, lines 42-49 in <u>Inoue</u> merely describes "gateway 4a (where the packet is decrypted) – home agent (HA) 4 – getaway 4a (where the packet is encrypted again)".

Sending and receiving a packet between the decryption and encryption is quite different from decrypting a packet, using the decrypted packet and then re-encrypting the packet.

Column 5, lines 30-42 in <u>Inoue</u> merely describes that "the data routing control with respect to the mobile computer 2 is carried out by encapsulating an IP packet destined to an original address (an address in a home network la) of the mobile computer 2 within a packet destined to a current location address of the mobile computer 2". Furthermore, column 5, lines 43-50 in <u>Inoue</u> merely describes that "each gateway has a packet encryption and authentication processing function". Each of these portions of <u>Inoue</u> fail to disclose or suggest that a gateway re-encrypts the decrypted packets (obtained from decrypting the received packets) before sending them to a destination device.

Column 7, lines 55-65 in <u>Inoue</u> merely discloses that "the gateway 6 applies the encryption and authentication processing to both the packet that is received from the Internet 6 and is sent to the mobile station 2 and the packet that is received from the mobile station 2 and is sent to the Internet 6". This portion of Inoue also fails to disclose or suggest "a

14

² Inoue, Abstract.

Application No. 09/862,440

Reply to Office Action of May 27, 2005 and the Advisory Action of November 9, 2005 gateway re-encrypts the decrypted packets obtained from decrypting the received packets before sending them to a destination device".

Furthermore, <u>Inoue</u> fails to disclose or suggest "data decryption unit configured to obtain decrypted data by decrypting the encrypted data by using the information regarding the security association <u>and to check a destination address included in a header of the decrypted data</u> at a time of relaying the communications with data secrecy between the first terminal device and the second terminal device" as recited in Applicants' amended Claims 1, 19 and 21.

The Advisory Action states <u>Inoue</u> discloses a gateway system with a data packet relaying function that decrypts the received encrypted data packets and re-encrypts the same data packets before transmitting them to their destination (col. 2, lines 42-49 and col. 5, lines 30-42). However, as apparent from col.2, lines 42-49 in <u>Inoue</u>, such a function is carried out by a plurality of gateways (4c, 4a) and via another device (home agent (HA)). In contrast, one gateway carries out such a function according to the present invention. However, Claims 1, 19 and 21 include the limitation "the gateway does not attach a new destination address to data to be transmitted before encrypting the data" (emphasis added) to clearly point out that one gateway decrypts received data and then encrypts the decrypted data.

Furthermore, Applicants continue to traverse the finding that <u>Caronni</u> describes a security association between first and second devices. That is, in <u>Caronni</u>, only an internal secure network is secured from an external terminal such as a mobile device. The gateway recited in Applicants' claims decrypts encrypted data received from the first terminal device or the second terminal device <u>according to the information regarding the security association</u>. In addition, the gateway recited in Applicants' independent claims relays data at a transport or upper layer <u>according to the decrypted data</u>. <u>Caronni</u> falls to disclose or suggest any data relaying operation, let alone Applicants' recited relay at a transport or upper layer <u>according</u>

Reply to Office Action of May 27, 2005 and the Advisory Action of November 9, 2005 to the decrypted data. By relaying at a transport or upper layer according to the decrypted data, the claimed invention is provided as a proxy device for improving performance of TCP, whereas the gateway in Caronni merely maintains access control lists (ACLs) to prevent unauthorized devices from accessing the secure network. Similarly, Caronni does not encrypt the data according to the information regarding the security association. Inoue fails to cure the deficiencies of Caronni.

Applicants' Claims 10, 20 and 22 recite an authentication function to attach authentication information to data to be transmitted to the second terminal device or the first terminal device according to the information regarding the security association. Caronni fails to disclose or suggest such an authentication operation. Inoue fails to cure the deficiencies of Caronni.

MPEP §706.02(j) notes that to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. Also, the teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success must both be found in the prior art and not based on applicant's disclosure. *In re Vaeck*, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991). Without addressing the first two prongs of the test of obviousness, Applicants submit that the Official Action does not present a *prima facie* case of obviousness because both Caronni and Inoue fail to disclose all the features of Applicants' claimed invention.

Application No. 09/862,440 Reply to Office Action of May 27, 2005 and the Advisory Action of November 9, 2005

Accordingly, in view of the present amendment and in light of the previous discussion, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance and respectfully request an early and favorable action that that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04) Eckhard H. Kuesters Attorney of Record Registration No. 28,870

Michael E. Monaco Registration No. 52,041

I:\aTTY\Mm\AMENDMENT\0039\208915US.AM Due 11-27-05.DOC